

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/707,895	TSIRLINE ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Uyen-Chau N. Le	2876

**All Participants:**

(1) Uyen-Chau N. Le.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Andrew Babcock.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 November 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*11 and 13-16*

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated to Mr. Babcock that claim 11 depended on a non-selected claim (i.e., claim 9) and claims 13, 15 and 16 depended on claim 11. Mr. Babcock advised the Examiner to change the dependencies of claims 11 and 13-16 as follows:

Claim 11 depends on claim 10;

Claim 13 depends on claim 12 and claim 14 depends on claim 13; and

Claims 15 and 16, both depend on claim 12.